

THOMAS N. STEWART, III - #88128
ATTORNEY AT LAW
369 BLUE OAK LANE, 2nd FLOOR
CLAYTON, CA 94517
TELEPHONE (925) 672-8452
TELEFAX (925) 673-1729
Attorneys for Albert Dytch

FILED
09 APR 15 4:48
CLAYTON, CA
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ORIGINAL

E-filing

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EDL

ALBERT DYTCH,

Case No. 09-
Civil Rights

1657

Plaintiff,

v.

SOONKYUNG YOUNGRAN
HONG, individually and as Trustee
of the SOONKYUNG YOUNGRAN
HONG TRUST,

COMPLAINT FOR PRELIMINARY AND
PERMANENT INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND
DAMAGES: DENIAL OF CIVIL RIGHTS AND
PUBLIC FACILITIES TO PHYSICALLY
DISABLED PERSONS (CIVIL CODE §§ 54,
54.1 AND 55; INJUNCTIVE RELIEF PER
TITLE III, AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES
ACT OF 1990

Defendants.

Plaintiff, Albert Dytch, alleges:

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF: DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
FOR DENIAL OF FULL AND EQUAL ACCESS TO A PUBLIC ACCOMMODATION:
PUBLIC FACILITIES AT A RESTAURANT (Civil Code §§ 51, 54.1)

1. Plaintiff, suffers from muscular dystrophy, does not have the substantial use of his legs and is a "person with a disability" and "physically handicapped person". Plaintiff requires the use of a wheelchair for locomotion and is either unable to use portions of public facilities which are not accessible to disabled persons who require the use of a wheelchair or is only able to use such portions with undue difficulty.

1 2. The Jong Ga House restaurant, located at 372 Grand Avenue, Oakland, California (the
2 Restaurant) is a restaurant which is open to the public. Defendants own the real property where
3 the Restaurant is located and/or operate the Restaurant.

4 3. Summary of facts: This case involves the denial of access to Plaintiff and others similarly
5 situated at the Restaurant on April 1, 2009 . Plaintiff was denied equal protection of the law
6 and was denied Civil Rights under both California law and federal law, as hereinbelow
7 described. Plaintiff was denied his rights to full and equal access at the Restaurant because of
8 its men's restroom, all of which made the Restaurant not properly accessible to Plaintiff and to
9 others similarly situated. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief to require Defendants to correct those
10 barriers, to comply with ADAAG and the CBC where required, to remove all barriers to access
11 in the Restaurant which are readily achievable, to make all reasonable accommodations in
12 policy in order to enable Plaintiff and others similarly situated to use the Restaurant and at
13 minimum, to use readily achievable alternative methods to enable Plaintiff to use the goods and
14 services which the Restaurant makes available to the non-disabled public. Plaintiff also seeks
15 the recovery of damages for his personal damages involved in the discriminatory experiences
16 on April 1, 2009, and seeks recovery of reasonable attorney's fees and litigation expenses and
17 costs according to statute.

18
19 4. Jurisdiction: This Court has jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 USC § 1331 for
20 violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 USC 12101 et seq. Pursuant to
21 supplemental jurisdiction, attendant and related causes of action arising from the same facts are
22 also brought under California law, including but not limited to violations of California Civil
23

1 Code §§ 51, 54, 54.1 and 55.

2 5. Venue: Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 USC 1391(b) and is founded on the fact
3 that the location where Plaintiff experienced his discrimination is located in this district and
4 that Plaintiff's causes of action arose in this district.

5 6. Intradistrict: This case should be assigned to the San Francisco intradistrict because the
6 incident occurred in, and Plaintiff's rights arose in, the San Francisco intradistrict.

7 7. The Restaurant is a "public accommodation or facility" subject to the requirements of
8 California Civil Code § 51, 54, 54.1 and 55.

9 8. Placeholder.

10 9. Defendants are and were the owner, operator, manager, lessor and lessee of the subject
11 Restaurant at all times relevant herein. Plaintiff is informed and believes that each of the
12 Defendants is and was the agent, employee or representative of each of the other Defendants,
13 and performed all acts and omissions stated herein within the scope of such agency or
14 employment or representative capacity and is responsible in some manner for the acts and
15 omissions of the other Defendants in proximately causing the damages complained of herein.

16 10. Plaintiff and others similarly situated are disabled persons who require the use of a
17 wheelchair and are unable to use public facilities on a "full and equal" basis unless each such
18 facility is in compliance with the provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and
19 the regulations thereof. Under Civil Code §§ 51 and 54.1, Defendants were required to comply
20 with the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and the federal
21 regulations adopted pursuant thereto. The acts and omissions of which Plaintiff complains took
22
23

1 place at the Restaurant.

2 11. Civil Code §§ 51 and 54, et seq., were enacted to prohibit discrimination against people
3 with disabilities and to encourage the full and free use by people with disabilities of public
4 facilities and other public places. CC §§ 51 and 54(c) state that a violation of the Americans
5 with Disabilities Act of 1990 is a “violation of this section”; CC § 54.1(a) states that
6 individuals with disabilities are entitled to “full and equal access” to public accommodations
7 and that such access means that which meets the standards of Titles II and III of the Americans
8 with Disabilities Act of 1990 and federal regulations adopted pursuant thereto; CC § 52 states
9 that minimum damages for discrimination are \$4,000 for each incidence of discrimination; CC
10 § 54.3 states that minimum damages for discrimination are \$1,000; CC § 54.3 states that a
11 defendant who denies or interferes with a disabled person’s rights of access is liable for actual
12 damages and attorneys’ fees.

13 12. Health & Safety Code § 19955 was enacted “To ensure that public accommodations or
14 facilities constructed in this state with private funds adhere to provisions of Chapter 7
15 (commencing with § 4450) of Division 5 of Title 1 of the Government Code.” Such public
16 accommodations include those which are the subject of this action. On information and belief,
17 Title 24 California Code of Regulations, formerly known as the California Administrative
18 Code, was in effect at the time of construction and of each alteration of work, all of which
19 occurred after July 1, 1982, thus requiring access complying with the specifications of title 24
20 for all such construction and for each such “alteration, structural repair or addition”.

21 13. The Restaurant’s men’s restroom is inaccessible to people in wheelchairs, because the
22
23

1 door does not open wide enough, and there is insufficient maneuvering room next to the toilet.

2 On April 1, 2009 Plaintiff had lunch at the Restaurant. Afterward, he needed to use the
3 men's restroom. He had to have a waiter hold the door open for him. When he looked inside,
4 he could see that he would be unable to use the toilet because of a partition. He therefore, left
5 the Restaurant and urinated elsewhere.

6 The barriers at the Restaurant are construction-related, and in its current condition, deny
7 people in wheelchairs full and equal access to the Restaurant, in violation of the requirements
8 of the Americans with Disabilities Act. On April 1, 2009, Plaintiff patronized the Restaurant,
9 and either (1) personally encountered and was subjected to such violations, causing Plaintiff to
10 experience difficulty, discomfort and embarrassment and/or (2) knew of such violations,
11 intended to use the restroom, and was dissuaded from attempting to use the restroom because of
12 the violations.

13 14. Defendant's failure to create accessible restrooms, remove all readily achievable barriers,
14 institute policies in furtherance of accessibility or at least have an alternate policy to enable
15 Plaintiff to use the goods and services offered to the non-disabled public without having to
16 suffer the indignities, as aforesaid, was a violation of the California Building Code, the
17 Americans with Disabilities Act and federal regulations adopted pursuant thereto, and as a
18 consequence, was a violation of Civil Code §§ 51 and 54, et seq.

19 15. Injunctive relief: Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief to prohibit the acts and omissions by
20 Defendant at the Restaurant as stated above which appear to be continuing, and which have the
21 effect of wrongfully excluding Plaintiff and others similarly situated from using the Restaurant.
22
23

1 Plaintiff will patronize the Restaurant again once the barriers are removed. Such acts and
2 omissions are the cause of humiliation and inconvenience of Plaintiff in that these actions
3 continue to treat Plaintiff as an inferior and second class citizen and serve to discriminate
4 against Plaintiff on the sole basis that Plaintiff is a person with a disability and requires the use
5 of a wheelchair for movement in public places. Plaintiff is unable so long as such acts and
6 omissions of Defendant continue, to achieve equal access to and use of this public facility. The
7 acts of Defendant have proximately caused and will continue to cause irreparable injury to
8 Plaintiff if not enjoined by this Court.

9 16. Damages: As a result of the denial of equal access to the Restaurant and due to the acts and
10 omissions of Defendants and each of them in owning, operating and/or leasing the Restaurant,
11 Plaintiff suffered a violation of Civil Rights including but not limited to rights under Civil Code
12 §§ 51, 54 and 54.1, and suffered mental and emotional distress, all to Plaintiff's damages.
13 Defendant's actions and omissions to act constituted discrimination against Plaintiff on the sole
14 basis that Plaintiff was physically disabled and unable to use the facilities on a full and equal
15 basis as other persons. Plaintiff seeks the minimum damages of \$4,000 pursuant to CC § 52,
16 and \$1,000 pursuant to CC § 54.3, for each of the dates on which Plaintiff was subjected to
17 barriers at the Restaurant. Plaintiff also seeks trebling of all of the actual damages as provided
18 by Civil Code § 54.3.

19
20 17. Fees and costs: As a result of Defendant's acts, omissions and conduct, Plaintiff has been
21 required to incur attorney's fees, litigation expenses, and costs as provided by statute, in order
22 to enforce Plaintiff's rights and to enforce provisions of the law protecting access for disabled
23

persons and prohibiting discrimination against disabled persons. Plaintiff therefore seeks recovery of all reasonable attorney's fees and costs, pursuant to the provisions of Civil Code §§ 52 and 54.3. Additionally, Plaintiff's lawsuit is intended not only to obtain compensation for damages to Plaintiff, but also to force the Defendants to make their facilities accessible to all disabled members of the public, justifying "public interest" attorney's fees, litigation expenses and costs pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5.

18. Wherefore, Plaintiff asks this Court to preliminarily and permanently enjoin any continuing refusal by Defendants to comply with the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and regulations adopted pursuant thereto with respect to access of disabled persons to the Restaurant; for statutory damages pursuant to CC § 52; for actual and treble damages pursuant to CC § 54.3 and for attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to CC §§ 52, 54.3 and 1021.5.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF:
VIOLATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990
42 USC §§ 12101 FF

19. Plaintiff realleges the allegations of paragraphs 1-18 hereof.

20. Pursuant to law, in 1990 the United States Congress made findings per 42 USC §12101 regarding physically disabled persons, finding that laws were needed to more fully protect "some 43 million Americans [with] one or more physical or mental disabilities"; that "historically society has tended to isolate and segregate individuals with disabilities"; and that "such forms of discrimination against individuals with disabilities continue to be a serious and pervasive social problem"; that "the Nation's proper goals regarding individuals with disabilities are to assure equality of opportunity, full participation, independent living and economic self

1 sufficiency for such individuals"; and that "the continuing existence of unfair and unnecessary
 2 discrimination and prejudice denies people with disabilities the opportunity to compete on an
 3 equal basis and to pursue those opportunities for which our free society is justifiably famous ..."

4 21. Congress stated as its purpose in passing the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 USC
 5 §12101(b)):

6 It is the purpose of this act

7 (1) to provide a clear and comprehensive national mandate for the elimination of
 discrimination against individuals with disabilities;

8 (2) to provide clear, strong, consistent, ***enforceable standards*** addressing discrimination
 9 against individuals with disabilities;

10 (3) to ensure that the Federal government plays a central role in enforcing the standards
 established in this act on behalf of individuals with disabilities; and

11 (4) to invoke the sweep of Congressional authority, including the power to enforce the
 12 14th Amendment and to regulate commerce, in order to address the major areas of
discrimination faced day to day by people with disabilities (emphasis added).

13 22. As part of the Americans with Disabilities Act, Public Law 101-336 (hereinafter the
 14 "ADA"), Congress passed "Title III - Public Accommodations and Services Operated by Private
 15 Entities" (42 USC 12181 ff). Among "private entities" which are considered "public
 16 accommodations" for purposes of this Title is a Restaurant (Regulation 36.104).

17 23. Pursuant to §302, 42 USC §12182, "No individual shall be discriminated against on the
 18 basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges,
 19 advantages or accommodations of any place of public accommodation by any person who
 20 owns, leases or leases to, or operates a place of public accommodation."

21 24. Among the specific prohibitions against discrimination were included:
 22
 23

1 **§12182(b)(2)(A)(ii):** "A failure to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices or
 2 procedures when such modifications are necessary to afford such goods, services, facilities,
 3 privileges, advantages or accommodations to individuals with disabilities ...";

4 **§12182(b)(A)(iii):** "a failure to take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that no individual
 5 with a disability is excluded, denied service, segregated, or otherwise treated differently than
 6 other individuals because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services ..."; **§12182(b)(A)(iv):**

7 "A failure to remove architectural barriers and communication barriers that are structural in
 8 nature, in existing facilities ... where such removal is readily achievable"; **§12182(b)(A)(v):**

9 "Where an entity can demonstrate that the removal of a barrier under clause (iv) is not readily
 10 achievable, a failure to make such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or
 11 accommodations available through alternative methods if such methods are readily achievable".

12 The acts and omissions of Defendants set forth herein were in violation of Plaintiff's rights
 13 under the ADA, Public Law 101-336, and the regulations promulgated thereunder, 28 CFR Part
 14 36ff.

15 25. The removal of each of the barriers complained of by Plaintiff as hereinabove alleged, were
 16 at all times herein mentioned "readily achievable" under the standards of the Americans With
 17 Disabilities Act. Because the Restaurant was not accessible, Defendants had an obligation to
 18 have some sort of plan which would have allowed Plaintiff to enjoy the Restaurant's services
 19 without having to suffer the indignities as aforesaid.

20 26. Pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 USC 12188ff, §308, Plaintiff is
 21 entitled to the remedies and procedures set forth in §204(a) of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42
 22
 23

1 USC 2000(a)-3(a), as Plaintiff is being subjected to discrimination on the basis of disability in
2 violation of this Title or has reasonable grounds for believing that he is about to be subjected to
3 discrimination in violation of §12182. On information and belief, Defendants have continued
4 to violate the law and deny the rights of Plaintiff and of other disabled persons to access this
5 public accommodation since on or before April 1, 2009. Pursuant to §12188(a)(2), "In cases of
6 violations of §12182(b)(2)(A)(iv) ... injunctive relief shall include an order to alter facilities to
7 make such facilities readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities to the
8 extent required by this title."

9 27. Plaintiff seeks relief pursuant to remedies set forth in §204(a) of the Civil Rights Act of
10 1964, (42 USC 2000(a)-3(a), and pursuant to Federal Regulations adopted to implement the
11 Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. Plaintiff is a person for purposes of Section 12188(a)
12 of the ADA who is being subjected to discrimination on the basis of disability in violation of
13 Title III and who has reasonable grounds for believing he will be subjected to such
14 discrimination each time that he may attempt to patronize the Restaurant.

15 Wherefore Plaintiff prays for relief as hereinafter stated:

16 PRAYER

17 1. Issue a preliminary and permanent injunction directing Defendants to modify its
18 facilities as required by law to comply with the ADAAG and the CBC where required, remove
19 all barriers where it is readily achievable to do so, institute policies in furtherance of
20 accessibility or at least have an alternate policy to enable Plaintiff to use the goods and services
21 offered to the non-disabled public so that it provides adequate access to all citizens, including
22
23

1 persons with disabilities; and issue a preliminary and permanent injunction directing
2 Defendants to maintain its facilities usable by plaintiff and similarly situated persons with
3 disabilities in compliance with federal regulations, and which provide full and equal access, as
4 required by law;

5 2. Retain jurisdiction over the Defendants until such time as the Court is satisfied that
6 Defendants' unlawful policies, practices, acts and omissions, and maintenance of inaccessible
7 public facilities as complained of herein no longer occur, and will not recur;

8 3. Award to Plaintiff all appropriate statutory damages;

9 4. Award to Plaintiff all reasonable attorneys' fees, all litigation expenses, and all costs
10 of this proceeding as provided by law; and

11 5. Grant such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

12 Date: April 14, 2009

13 

14 _____
15 S/Thomas N. Stewart, III
16 Attorney for Plaintiff
17
18
19
20
21
22
23